

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSENDER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.upote.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/544,282	08/07/2006	Vijay Kamalakant Gadkari	60300-USA	9940
Paul A Fair	7590 12/07/200	9	EXAM	UNER
FMC Corpora		MAEWALL, SNIGDHA		
Patent Admini 1735 Market S			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Philadelphia,	PA 19103		1612	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/07/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/544,282	GADKARI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Snigdha Maewall	1612		

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extraosins of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (9) MONTH's from the maining date of this communication.
If NO people for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expect SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailung date of this communication. Faiture to reply within the set or catendard period for reply with ty statute on become ABANDACHE (0.5 U.S.). SIX3). Any reply received by the Cffice later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patter term adjustment. See 30 FCR 1.704(b).
Status
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 January 2009.
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims
4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.
8) Claim(s) 1-22 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application Papers
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ol>
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li></ol>
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/SE/08)	Notice of Informal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/544,282 Page 2

Art Unit: 1612

Election/Restriction

Claims 1-22 are pending:

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1 (a) comprising a silica abrasive, Silica thickener, water surface

active agent and binder... and claims 2-22 are drawn to a toothpaste

composition classified in a class 424 subclass 049.

II. Claims 1 (b) comprising a silica abrasive, Silica thickener, water surface

active agent, non-colloidal and colloidal microcrystalline cellulose or

mixtures thereof and claims 2-22 are drawn to a toothpaste composition

...classified in a class 424 subclass 050.

III. Claims 1 (c) comprising a binder as calcium based abrasive, the binder as

cellulose gum and calcium based abrasive, surface active agent and water

and claims 2-22 are drawn to a toothpaste composition .......classified in

a class 424 subclass 049.

The inventions are independent or distinct, each from the other because:

Art Unit: 1612

Inventions I to III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions have different components. Invention I has silica abrasive which is not present in invention II and III. Invention II has colloidal and non-colloidal microcrystalline cellulose which is not present in inventions I and III. Invention III has calcium binder which is not present in inventions I and III.

- Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these
  inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above
  and there would be a serious search and examination burden if restriction were not
  required because one or more of the following reasons apply:
  - (a) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification:
  - (b) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter;
  - (c) the inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries);
  - (d) the prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to another invention;

Art Unit: 1612

(e) the inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete <u>must</u> include (i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/544,282 Page 5

Art Unit: 1612

3. This Application contains claims directed to patentably distinct species.

From groups I-III, claim 8, Applicant is required to choose a specific species of binder from

species 1 carrageenan

Species 2, cellulose gums and

species 3, xanthan.

- 4. The species are independent or distinct because claims to the different species recite the mutually exclusive characteristics of such species. In addition, these species are not obvious variants of each other based on the current record.
- Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed
   Species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim [1] is generic.
- 6. There is an examination and search burden for these patentably distinct species due to their mutually exclusive characteristics. The species require a different field of search (e.g., searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries); and/or the prior art applicable to one species would not likely be applicable to another species; and/or the species are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101

Art Unit: 1612

and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

- 7. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.
- 8. The election of the species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the election of species requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected species.
- 9. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the species unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used

Art Unit: 1612

in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other species.

- 10. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141.
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Snigdha Maewall whose telephone number is (571)-272-6197. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frederick Krass, can be reached on 571-272-0580. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Art Unit: 1612

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Page 8

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Snigdha Maewall

Art Unit 1612

/Gollamudi S Kishore/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1612